UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,043	06/30/2006	Patrick Pichat	P/3425-34	5755
	7590 08/31/200 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			BASHAW, HEIDI M	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3709	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/564,043	PICHAT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Heidi M. Bashaw	3709		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ja</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or explication Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 09 January 2006 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the content of the conten	election requirement.  r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/15/2006.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species for the nozzle openings are as follows:

Species 1: Nozzle openings are radial passages

Species 2: Nozzle openings are beveled passages

Species 3: Nozzle openings are tangentially oriented or skewed passages

Species 4: Nozzle openings are elongated or slot-shaped

Upon selection of a nozzle opening the applicant is further required to elect an axial nozzle opening from the following:

Species A: The axial nozzle opening is diffuser-shaped

Species B: The axial nozzle opening is a venture nozzle

Species C: The axial nozzle opening is provided with an outlet cross section which narrows in the axial direction

Species D: The axial nozzle opening is asymmetrically formed

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

Application/Control Number: 10/564,043 Page 3

Art Unit: 3709

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species 1: 4

Species 2: 5

Species 3: 6-9

Species 4: 10-11

Species A: 14

Species B: 15

Species C: 16

Species D: 17

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-3, 12-13 and 18-28.

- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature of forming the nozzle openings as radial passages is disclosed in Maita et al, 4,993,941. The second technical feature of forming the axial nozzle opening diffuser-shaped is disclosed in LaSalle et al. 6,273,789. Therefore forming the nozzle openings as radial passages and the axial nozzle opening as diffuser-shaped is considered a common technical feature.
- 4. A telephone call was made to James Finder on August 27, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heidi M. Bashaw whose telephone number is 571-270-

Application/Control Number: 10/564,043 Page 5

Art Unit: 3709

3081. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (Alternate Fridays off) 7:30-

5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571-272-4828. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Luidi Bashaw

8128107

KIMBERLY S. SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER

8128107